1 E filed: 9-20-2010 HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 499 3 HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD. 2705 Airport Drive North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 Telephone: (702) 382-1714 5 Fax: (702) 382-1759 Email: harold@gewerterlaw.com 6 Attorney for non-party 7 HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESO. LTD. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 GERALD HESTER, on behalf of himself and CASE NO.: 2:09-CV-00117-RLH-RJJ 11 all others similarly situated, 12 Plaintiff. 13 **VISION AIRLINES, INC.'S** vs. 14 **OBJECTION TO** NOTICE OF DEPOSITION 15 VISION AIRLINES, INC., Deposition Date: Sept. 29, 2010 16 Defendant. Deposition Time: 10:00 a.m. 17 18 VISION AIRLINES, INC., by and through its undersigned counsel, HAROLD P. 19 GEWERTER, ESQ., hereby submits its Objection to Notice of Deposition sought by Plaintiff 20 GERALD HESTER, pursuant to the Notice of Deposition served upon Harold P. Gewerter, 21 Esq. as counsel for Defendant VISION AIRLINES, INC. seeking the deposition of 22 Representative Regarding Discovery and Document Production pursuant to Federal Rules of 23 Civil Procedure 30 and 45. 24 FRCP 30 (a) reads: 25 Rule 30. Depositions by Oral Examination 26 (a) When a Deposition May be Taken. 27 (1)....The deponent's attendance may be compelled by subpoena under Rule 45. 28 FRCP 45 reads: Rule 45. Subpoena

1	(a) In General.				
2					
3	(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.				
4	4 (1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.	Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.			
5	A party or attorney responsible for issuing and servi	ng a			
6	0 11	subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or			
7	subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty a				
8	· II				
9	attorney who fails to comply.				
10	(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection	Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.			
11	(A) Appearance Not Required. A person comm	anded			
12	to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to pern	nit the			
13	inspection of premises, need not appear in	person			
14	communded to appear for a deposition, near				
15	trial.				
16	(B) Objections. A person commanded to produ or tangible things or to permit inspection ma				
17	party or attorney designated in the subpo	ena a written			
18	objection to inspecting, copying, testing or or all of the materials or to inspecting the p				
19	to producing electronically stored information	on in the form			
20	the earlier of the time specified for complian	ice or 14 days			
21	after the subpoena is served. If an objection following rules apply:	is made, the			
22		d nerson the			
23	serving party may move the issuing court	-			
24	compelling production or inspection.				
25	(ii) These acts may be required only as divorder, and the order must protect a po				
26	neither a party nor a party's officer fro				
27	expense resulting from compliance.				
28	(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.				

Case 2:09-cv-00117-RLH-RJJ Document 165 Filed 09/20/10 Page 3 of 4

- 1					
1	(A)		When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:		
2		•			
3		(i) (ii)	fails to allow a reasonable time to comply; requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from		
4			where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — excep		
5			that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling		
7			from any such place within the state where the trial is held;		
8		(iii)	requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or		
9		(iv)	subjects a person to undue burden.		
10	(B)		When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion		
11			quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:		
12		(i)	disclosing a trade secret or other confidentia		
13			research, development, or commercia information;		
14 15		(ii)	disclosing an unretained expert's opinion of information that does not describe specific		
16		····	occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or		
17		(iii)	a person who is neither a party nor a party's office to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.		
18					
19	(C)	-	Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the		
20		may,			
21			appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:		
22		(i)	shows a substantial need for the testimony of		
23		` '	material that cannot be otherwise met withou undue hardship; and		
24		(ii)	ensures that the subpoenaed person will be		
25			reasonably compensated.		
26					
27	Discovery closed in this case on June 29, 2010 a full three months prior to the date o				
28	the requested deposition. The first Notice of this requested deposition was given to Defendan				

Case 2:09-cv-00117-RLH-RJJ Document 165 Filed 09/20/10 Page 4 of 4

Vision on September 10, 2010. Thus the deposition should be quashed as it is well beyond 1 the discovery period in this matter. 2 In January 2010 Plaintiff filed a Motion to Compel in this matter in which they 3 requested relief as follows: "Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an 4 Order compelling Vision to provide all documents that Vision previously agreed to produce in 5 response to Plaintiff's Narrowed Requests, by a date certain." No request for an extension of 6 the discovery period was requested. 7 This Honorable Court on September 17, 2010 ruled as follows on the above Motion to 8 Compel: "ORDER granting [93] Motion to Compel. Items must be produced by 9/17/10. 9 Signed by Magistrate Judge Robert J. Johnston." 10 Thus since no extension of the discovery period has been requested or granted, the 11 noticed deposition should be quashed as it is well beyond the discovery period in this matter. 12 DATED this 20th day of September, 2010. 13 HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD. 14 15 16 /s/ Harold P. Gewerter, Esq. HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ. 17 Nevada Bar No. 499 2705 Airport Drive 18 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 19 Telephone: 702-382-1714 Fax: 702-382-1759 20 Email: harold@gewerterlaw.com Attorney for Defendant 21 22 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 23 Certification is hereby made that a true and correct copy of the foregoing VISION 24 AIRLINES, INC.'S OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION was served this 20th day of 25 September, 2010, via the Court's CM/ECF system. 26 27 /s/ Harold P. Gewerter, Esq. 28 An Agent of HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD.